UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/520,008	12/30/2004	Chunyu Cao	CAO1	9498
	7590 11/25/200 D NEIMARK, P.L.L.C	EXAMINER		
624 NINTH STREET, NW			HIRIYANNA, KELAGINAMANE T	
SUITE 300 WASHINGTON, DC 20001-5303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1633	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/25/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/520,008	CAO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	KELAGINAMANE T. HIRIYANNA	1633				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 M	av 2008					
	action is non-final.					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	•					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3-10 and 17-27</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3-10, 17-27</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's response filed on 05/21/2008 in response to office action mailed on 02/22/2008 has been acknowledged.

Claims 3-10 are amended.

Claims 1, 2 and 11-16 are canceled.

Claims 17-27 are new.

Claims 3-10, 17-27 are pending and are examined in this office action.

Applicants are required to follow Amendment Practice under revised 37 CFR §1.121. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is **571-273-8300**.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action. Rejections and/or objections not reiterated from previous office actions are hereby withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112.

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

"The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same, and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention."

Claims 3-10, 17-27 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the <u>written description requirement</u>. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention for the reason of record set forth in the office action of 02/22/2008.

Response to Applicant's arguments of 05/21/2008:

The Applicant argues that the open-ended language "comprising of" indicating an open-ended range regards to the structural elements of the DNA of the invention that is

Art Unit: 1633

used in the original claims necessarily include a "consisting of" as a minimal limit in the range although the as filed specification does not even describe an embodiment or an example.

The applicants arguments are fully considered however, they are found not persuasive because (1) Specification does not contain embodiments that teach to exclude other sequences associated with in the mutagenic inverted repeat nucleic acid sequences (DNA of the invention). (2) All the examples provided include other associated sequences as structural elements and therefore there was as such no contemplation that the invention was meant to be to the exclusion of other sequences. (3) Original claims have a "comprising language" and as such necessarily does not demonstrate or convey that the invention is to exclude all other sequences. Therefore an Artisan would not have understood the invention to be to the exclusion of other sequences. Hence the rejection is maintained.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102/103

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 17, 23-27 are rejected under 102(b) as being anticipated by Ohshima et al (US Patent Number: 5643762).

The Claims are drawn to a method for introducing a mutation into a nucleotide sequence of a target nucleic acid, the method comprising the steps of: 1) preparing a DNA having an inverted repeat sequence, wherein the inverted repeat sequence comprises a sense strand sequence and an antisense strand sequence of a target nucleic acid and

Application/Control Number: 10/520,008 Page 4

Art Unit: 1633

contains a mutation to be introduced into the target nucleic acid, wherein the sense strand sequence and the antisense strand sequence are arranged in tandem, and the mutation to be introduced into the target nucleic acid is located within the sense strand sequence and the antisense strand sequence in the inverted repeat sequence; and 2) transferring the DNA having an inverted repeat sequence into a cell. The method is further limited wherein the target is in the cytoplasm or in the nucleus and wherein a plurality of mutations are simultaneously introduced into the target nucleic acid wherein the mutation is a substitution, deletion, and/or insertion of a nucleotide.

Regarding claims Ohshima teaches a method of introducing a mutation into a nucleotide sequence of a target nucleic acid (selected gene) comprising preparing a sL DNA that comprises a sense strand sequence and antisense strand of target nucliec acid containing a mutation and the sense strand and antisense strand sequence are arranged in tandem (entire article; abstract; Fig.1; Fig.7) and wherein the mutation to be introduced is located on the sense and antisense stand (Fig.7; co.13-16; col.17, lines 6-20) and Ohshima further teaches a method of preparing said inverted repeat single stranded mutagenic DNA that can form stem loop structure and method of introducing mutations (col.2, lines 9-47; col.17, lines 6-20). Ohshima thus anticipates the invention as claimed

Further, and alternatively, it would have been obvious to one of the skill in the art to remove the irrelevant portions of the molecule for example the 3'overhanging sequences in the folded single strand inverted repeat structure of sLDNA shown in Fig.4 of Oshima reference and use it for introducing a desired mutation into a cellular gene by homologous recombination. The artisan would do so as the irrelevant portions have no function, and hence their removal would still yield an active molecule of the same function. Moreover, the artisan would have reasonable expectation of success as the same functions of the molecule are provided and nothing in the art would lead the artisan to believe it would not work. Thus the invention as claimed is obvious.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Application/Control Number: 10/520,008

Art Unit: 1633

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3-10, 17-27 are rejected under 35 USC 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Ohshima et al (US Patent Number: 5643762) in view of in view of Wengel et al (WO 99/14226; art of record), Dean et al (US Patent No: 6,130,207; art of record) and Bissler et al (1998, Frontiers in Biosciences 3:d408-418).

The above Claims are drawn to a method for introducing a mutation into a nucleotide sequence of a target nucleic acid, the method comprising the steps of: 1) preparing a DNA having an inverted repeat sequence, wherein the inverted repeat sequence comprises a sense strand sequence and an antisense strand sequence of a target nucleic acid and contains a mutation to be introduced into the target nucleic acid, wherein the sense strand sequence and the antisense strand sequence are arranged in tandem, and the mutation to be introduced into the target nucleic acid is located within the sense strand sequence and the antisense strand sequence in the inverted repeat sequence; and 2) transferring the DNA having an inverted repeat sequence into a cell. The method is further limited wherein the target is in the cytoplasm or in the nucleus and wherein a plurality of mutations are simultaneously introduced into the target nucleic acid wherein the mutation is a substitution, deletion, and/or insertion of a nucleotide.

Regarding claims Ohshima teaches a method of introducing a mutation into a nucleotide sequence of a target nucleic acid (selected gene) comprising preparing a sL DNA that comprises a sense strand sequence and antisense strand of target nucliec acid containing a mutation and the sense strand and antisense strand sequence are arranged in tandem (entire article; abstract; Fig.1; Fig.7) and wherein the mutation to be introduced is located on the sense and antisense stand (Fig.7; co.13-16; col.17, lines 6-20) and Ohshima further teaches a method of preparing said inverted repeat single stranded mutagenic DNA that can form stem loop structure and method of introducing mutations (col.2, lines 9-47; col.17, lines 6-20). Further it would have been obvious to one of the skill in the art to use single or double stranded nucleic acid consisting only of the elements in said mutagenic inverted repeat nucleic acid as instantly claimed. Ohshima however, does

Art Unit: 1633

not teach using modified bases or LNAs in the invention and further does not teach a binding motif sequence for a protein having a nuclear transport signal.

Page 6

Wengel discloses the use of LNAs of his invention improve the "affinity and specificity towards complementary RNA and DNA oligomers" (see abstract). Wengel teaches that his LNAs are useful for preparing oligomers (page 37). Wengel teaches that the LNAs of his invention have surprisingly good hybridization properties with a substantially higher 3'exonucleolytic stability than unmodified oligonucleotides (page 46-48). Wengel further teaches that his LNAs are useful in modifying gene expression via antisense and therapeutic strategies (see abstract and introduction).

Dean teaches a plasmid comprising a DNA binding sequence specific for transcription factors (column 2, lines 54-67). Dean teaches that the binding sites allow transcription factors to bind to the plasmid and import the plasmid into the nucleus, thereby allowing the DNA to utilize the transcription factor nuclear localization signal for nuclear import (column 2, lines 54-67). Dean teaches that the binding sites can be for transcription factors such as AP1, Ap4, and Sp1 (column 3, lines 3-8). Dean teaches that the DNA to be imported into the nucleus can be flanked by IR sequences (column 8, lines Dean teaches the DNA insert integrates into the host genome through 31-50). homologous recombination at homologous sequences (column 11, lines 2-24). Dean further teaches that two problems hindering gene therapy are, "(1) gene transfers to nondiving cells are still extremely inefficient and (2) gene transfer to specific desired nondividing cells within a population of other cell types is even more inefficient. Thus any way to increase the amount of gene transfer will greatly benefit this emerging field" (column 1, lines 18-22) and in order to fully exploit the potential for gene therapy, there is a "continuing need for ways to increase the amount of gene transfer to cells" (column 1, lines 64-67). Dean teaches that his invention, a plasmid comprising a cell-specific nuclear targeting molecule comprising a transcription factor binding motif meets this need (column 2, lines 5-27).

Bissler teaches regarding the nature of the inverted repeats in the eukaryotic genome and the well known art observation that inverted repeats tend to engage in intra and intermolecular base pairing by their ability to adopt hairpin and cruciform structures

Application/Control Number: 10/520,008

Art Unit: 1633

that can introduce frame shift mutations and the imperfect repeats can introduce additional mutations carried in them (entire article; abstract).

Page 7

Thus it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate into Ohshima,s method of mutagenesis using inverted repeats consisting of sense strand and antisense strand sequence of a target nucleic acid a step of including modified bases to stabilize the inverted repeat sequence as taught by Wengel and further use a sequence that bind a nuclear localization factor as taught by Dean and use the inverted repeat sequences to introduce multiple mutations including a nucleotide substitution, deletion and or insertion into the target gene as observed by Bissler. One of skill in the art would have been motivated to use the method of introducing mutations using targeted inverted repeat sequences as it amply increases the efficiency of inducing mutations into the gene. One of ordinary skill in the art would have reasonable expectation of success making using an inverted repeat sequence for mutagenesis of the DNA in a cell because the art teaches that it is routine to make nucleic acid with inverted repeat sequence containing desired mutation and further stabilizing a nucleic acid cells by having certain modified nucleotides, introducing the inverted sequence into a cell, nuclear targeting, and the nature of interaction of inverted repeats in human genome. Thus, the claimed invention was prima facie obvious.

Conclusion:

No claim allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to examiner *Kelaginamane Hiriyanna Ph.D.*, whose telephone number is **(571) 272-3307**. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 9 AM-7PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, *Joseph Woitach Ph.D.*, may be reached at **(571) 272-0739**. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system,

Application/Control Number: 10/520,008 Page 8

Art Unit: 1633

contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). When calling please have your application serial number or patent number, the type of document you are having an image problem with, the number of pages and the specific nature of the problem. For all other customer support, please call the USPTO call center (UCC) at (800) 786-9199.

Kelaginamane T. Hiriyanna
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 1633.

/Robert M Kelly/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1633